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Abstract

We determine the vertices of certain exterior powers of the natural simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-module in odd characteristic $p$ and, in particular, of the irreducible $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-module $D^{(n-r+1,p-1)}$ for the case $n = pw$ and $w \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Subject classification: 20C20, 20C30

1 Introduction

One of the main focuses in modular representation theory lies on the investigation of certain structural invariants of indecomposable and, in particular, simple FG-modules, where F is an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic p and G is a finite group. Among these invariants are the vertices of indecomposable FG-modules which had been introduced by J. A. Green in [4].

The representation theory of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is known to have strong relations to combinatorics, such as the parametrization of simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules by the $p$-regular partitions of $n$ and the characterization of blocks in terms of $p$-cores and $p$-weights. However, though closely connected to blocks and their defect groups, vertices of simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules could so far not be classified in a similar combinatorial way in general. Nevertheless, for certain families of simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules this is indeed possible. One of those consists of the simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules parametrized by $p$-regular hook partitions, i.e. $p$-regular partitions of shape $(n-r,1^r)$. In [11] J. Müller and R. Zimmermann dealt with these modules and determined their vertices except for the case where $p$ is odd, $p^2 \leq n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $r = p-1$. They showed, that the vertices of $D^{(n-r,1^r)}$ are precisely the defect groups of its block except for $n = 4$, $p = 2$ and $r = 1$, where the Sylow 2-subgroup of the alternating group $\mathfrak{A}_4$ is a vertex of $D^{(3,1)}$.

In odd characteristic one has a generalization of the simple modules corresponding to hook partitions. Therefore, let $p > 2$ and consider the natural simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-module $D = D^{(n-1,1)}$, i.e. the only nontrivial composition factor of the natural permutation module. Then $\dim(D) = n-1$ if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\dim(D) = n-2$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Moreover, for $r \leq \dim(D)$, the $r$-fold exterior power $\wedge^r D = D_r$ is again a simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-module. In particular, one has $D_r \cong D^{(n-r,1^r)}$ for $r < p$.

If $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the module $D_r$ with $r \leq n-1$ is isomorphic to the simple Specht module $S^{(n-r,1^r)}$, and its vertices are then known. They are exactly the $\mathfrak{S}_r$-conjugates of the Sylow $p$-subgroups of the Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{n-r-1} \times \mathfrak{S}_r \leq \mathfrak{S}_n$, as has been shown in [14], Thm. 2.
In this paper we are therefore basically concerned with the case \( n = pw \) for some \( w \in \mathbb{N} \), so that \( D_r \), for \( r \leq n - 2 \), is then contained in the principal block of \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \). With these assumptions, in Section 5 we will prove the following:

**Theorem.** Let \( p \) be odd, and let \( n = pw \) for some \( w \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, let \( k \in \{1, \ldots, w - 1\} \) and \( r := kp - 1 \). If the dimension of \( D_r \) is not divisible by \( p^2 \), then the vertices of \( D_r \) are the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \).

From this we then also immediately get:

**Corollary.** If \( p \) is odd and \( n = pw \) for some \( w \neq 1 \) (mod \( p \)) then the vertices of \( D_{p-1} \cong D^{(n-p+1,p-1)} \) are the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \).

Thus, in this situation, there is a positive answer to Conjecture (1.7) (a) in [11]. After all, in order to determine the vertices of all simple \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-modules corresponding to hook partitions \( (n - r, 1^r) \), it remains to treat the case where \( p \) is odd, \( n = wp \) for some \( w \equiv 1 \) (mod \( p \)) and \( r = p - 1 \).

At this point we shall emphasize that the assumption of \( p \) being odd in the situation above is essential. Namely, in characteristic 2 the exterior powers of the natural simple \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-module are in general not simple, often not even indecomposable. However, in case \( p = 2 < n \) and \( 2 \nmid n \), we again have \( \bigwedge^r D^{(n-1,1)} \cong S^{(n-r,1^r)} \) for \( r = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \). Moreover, if \( S^{(n-r,1^r)} \) is then also indecomposable, its vertices are, as in odd characteristic, conjugate to the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( \mathfrak{S}_{n-r-1} \times \mathfrak{S}_r \), by [14], Thm. 2.

Now, this paper is organized as follows. We begin by summarizing some notation and basic facts about exterior powers of modules over group algebras, about Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of the symmetric groups and vertices of simple modules. Then, in Section 5 we deal with the exterior powers of the natural simple \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-module in odd characteristic and present our results on vertices of such exterior powers.

Throughout this paper, a group \( G \) is always supposed to be a finite group, \( F \) is an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic \( p \), and any \( FG \)-module is a left module of finite \( F \)-dimension. As far as the modular representation theory of the symmetric groups is concerned, we take the notation needed from [6] and [7].
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## 2 Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of the symmetric groups

Let \( p \) be a prime number, and consider the cyclic group \( C_p := \langle (1, \ldots, p) \rangle \) of order \( p \). We set \( P_1 := 1, P_p := C_p \) and \( P_{p^i} := P_{p^{i-1}} \cap C_p \) for \( i \geq 2 \). We then regard \( P_{p^i} \) as a subgroup of \( \mathfrak{S}_p \), by identifying an element \((\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p; \pi) \in P_{p^i} \) with \((\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p; \pi) \in \mathfrak{S}_p \) which is defined as
follows. Let \( j \in \{1, \ldots, p^i\} \) with \( j = p^{i-1}(a - 1) + b \) for \( a \in \{1, \ldots, p\} \) and \( b \in \{1, \ldots, p^{i-1}\} \). Then we set:

\[
(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p; \pi)(j) := p^{i-1}(\pi(a) - 1) + \sigma_\pi(b).
\]

For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we consider the \( p \)-adic expansion \( n = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_i p^i \) of \( n \). Then the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( G_n \) are isomorphic to \( \prod_{i=0}^{r} (P_{\alpha_i})^{\alpha_i} \), by [7], 4.1.22 and 4.1.24. In the following, \( P_n \) will denote a fixed Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( G_n \) which is constructed as follows: Let

\[
P_{p_i, j_i} := (1, 1 + k(j_i)) \cdots (p^i, p^i + k(j_i)) \cdot P_{p_i} \cdot (1, 1 + k(j_i)) \cdots (p^i, p^i + k(j_i)),
\]

for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}, j_i \in \{1, \ldots, \alpha_i\} \) and \( k(j_i) := \sum_{a=i+1}^{r} \alpha_a p^a + (j_i - 1)p^i \), and set

\[
P_n = P_{p_i, 1} \cdots P_{p_{r-1}, 1} \cdots \cdots P_{p_{r-1}, \alpha_{r-1}} \cdots \cdots P_{p_i, 1} \cdots \cdots P_{p_i, \alpha_i}.
\]

For the sake of simplicity, with this convention, we will then just write \( P_n = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (P_{p_i})^{\alpha_i} \) instead.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( k, l \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( p^k \leq p^l \leq n \) and \( P_{p^k} \leq P_{p^l} \leq G \). Then \( P_{p^l} \) possesses exactly \( p^{l-k} \) subgroups which are in \( G \) conjugate to \( P_{p^k} \). Furthermore, if \( P := xP_{p^k}x^{-1} \leq P_{p^l} \) for some \( x \in\ G \), then there also exists an element \( y \in P_{p^l} \) with \( P = yP_{p^k}y^{-1} \).

**Proof.** We prove the assertion by induction on \( l - k \). If \( l - k = 0 \) we have \( P_{p^k} = P_{p^l} \) and may set \( y := 1 \). Let now \( l > l - k > 0 \). Then, by definition, \( P_{p^l} = P_{p^l-1} \rtimes G_{n} \). Moreover, denoting the base group of the wreath product \( P_{p^l-1} \rtimes G_{n} \) by \( B \), we have \( B = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} g_i P_{p^l-1}g_i^{-i} \) with \( g := (1, \ldots, 1; (1, \ldots, p)) \). Let \( P := xP_{p^k}x^{-1} \leq P_{p^l} \) for some \( x \in\ G \). Since \( k < l \), every element in \( P \) has a fixed point on \( \{1, \ldots, p^l\} \) and so we get \( P \leq B \). Moreover, \( P \) has exactly one nontrivial orbit on \( \{1, \ldots, p^l\} \), and that orbit has length \( p^k \). Consequently, it has to be contained in one of the \( p \) orbits of \( B \), and \( P \) itself has to be a subgroup of one of the factors \( g_i P_{p^l-1}g_i^{-1} \). Hence \( g_{i-1}xP_{p^k}x^{-1}g_{i-1}^i \leq P_{p^l-1} \) for some \( i \in \{0, \ldots, p^l - 1 \} \). By induction, \( P_{p^l-1} \) possesses precisely \( p^{l-1-k} \) subgroups which are conjugate to \( P_{p^k} \), and there is an \( h \in P_{p^l-1} \) with \( g_i^{-1}xP_{p^k}x^{-1}g_i = hP_{p^k}h^{-1} \). We now set \( y := g_i h \in P_{p^l} \) and finally get \( P = yP_{p^k}y^{-1} \). Furthermore, \( P_{p^l} \) has exactly \( p \cdot p^{l-1-k} = p^{l-k} \) subgroups that are conjugate to \( P_{p^k} \).

**Remark 2.2.** Again consider \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and the fixed Sylow \( p \)-subgroup \( P_n \) of \( G_n \) as defined above. Moreover, let \( P \leq P_n \) be in \( G_n \) conjugate to \( P_{p^k} \) for some \( k \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \). Then, first of all, we get \( P \leq P_{p^k, j} \) for some \( l \geq k \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq \alpha_i \), since \( P \) has exactly one nontrivial orbit on \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Furthermore, the previous lemma shows that \( P_{p^k, j} \) contains exactly \( p^{l-k} \) subgroups which are in \( G_n \) conjugate to \( P_{p^k} \). Thus, in particular, the \( p \)-cycles which are contained in \( P_n \) are precisely the elements \( \{1, \ldots, p\}, \{p+1, \ldots, 2p\}, \ldots \), \( (n - \alpha_0 - p + 1, \ldots, n - \alpha_0) \) and their nontrivial powers.

### 3 Vertices of simple \( FG \)-modules

Let \( V \) be an indecomposable \( FG \)-module. Then a subgroup \( P \) of \( G \) which is minimal subject to the condition that \( V \) is relatively \( P \)-projective, i.e. \( V|\text{Ind}_P^G(\text{Res}_P^G(V)) \), is called a **vertex** of \( V \). The vertices of an indecomposable \( FG \)-module are known to form a \( G \)-conjugacy class of \( p \)-subgroups of \( G \). Moreover, if \( V \) is contained in the block \( B \) of \( FG \), and if \( P \) is a vertex of \( V \), then there are a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup \( R \) of \( G \) and a defect group \( \Delta \) of \( B \) with \( P \leq \Delta \leq R \).
and $|R : P| \cdot \dim(V)$. A proof for this can be found in [4]. Given a vertex $P$ of an indecomposable $FG$-module $V$, there exists an indecomposable $FP$-module $L$ with vertex $P$ such that $V|\text{Ind}_G^F(L)$ and $L|\text{Res}_G^F(V)$. Then $L$ is called a source of $V$, and $L$ is determined up to isomorphism and $N_G(P)$-conjugacy.

The following lemma will play an important role in the proof of our main result in Section 5.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $K \leq H \leq G$ such that $p | |K|$, and let $V$ be a relatively $H$-projective $FG$-module. If $\text{Res}_G^F(V)$ is projective we have $p \cdot |G : H| \cdot \dim(V)$ where $|G : H|_p$ denotes the highest $p$-power dividing $|G : H|$.

**Proof.** We show that the assertion holds true if $V$ is indecomposable. The general statement then follows by considering each indecomposable direct summand of $V$. Furthermore, assume $G$ to be a $p$-group first, let $P \leq H$ be a vertex of $V$, and let $L$ be a source of $V$. Thus $V|\text{Ind}_G^F(L)$, and Green’s Indecomposability Theorem (cf. [4]) yields $V \cong \text{Ind}_G^F(L)$. If $P < H$ we therefore immediately get $p \cdot |G : H| \cdot |G : P| \cdot \dim(L) = \dim(V)$. If $P = H$ we have $K \leq P$ so that $\text{Res}_G^F(L)|\text{Res}_G^F(V)$ is projective which implies $p \cdot |K| \cdot \dim(L)$. Thus also in this case we obtain $p \cdot |G : H| \cdot |G : P| \cdot \dim(L) = \dim(V)$.

Now let $G$ be arbitrary. Furthermore, let $R_1, R_2$ and $R_3$ be Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$, $H$ and $K$, respectively, such that $R_3 \leq R_2 \leq R_1$. Moreover, let $\text{Res}_{R_3}^G(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} W_i$ with indecomposable $FR_1$-modules $W_1, \ldots, W_r$. Since $V$ is relatively $H$-projective, $\text{Res}_{R_3}^G(V)$ and therefore also $W_1, \ldots, W_r$ are relatively $R_2$-projective. Since $\text{Res}_{R_3}^G(V)$ is projective, $\text{Res}_{R_i}^{R_3}(W_i)$ is projective for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. As we have already proved, the assertion of the lemma holds for $p$-groups, so that we get $p \cdot |R_1 : R_2| \cdot \dim(W_i)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. This finally yields $p \cdot |G : H|_p = p \cdot |R_1 : R_2| \cdot \dim(V)$. □

As far as simple $FG$-modules are concerned, the following theorem is essential:

**Theorem 3.2 (Knörr [8]).** Let $V$ be a simple $FG$-module belonging to a block $B$ of $FG$, and let $P$ be a vertex of $V$. Then there exists a block $b$ of $F[PC_G(P)]$ with defect group $P$ such that $b^G = B$. Consequently there is a defect group $\Delta$ of $B$ with $C_\Delta(P) \leq \Delta$.

Thus Knörr’s Theorem implies that the vertices of simple $FG$-modules in blocks with abelian defect groups are exactly those defect groups. As another direct consequence of the above theorem and Prop. 1.4 in [12] we obtain:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $D$ be a simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-module belonging to a block $B$ of weight $w$. Moreover, let $\Delta \leq \mathfrak{S}_{pw}$ be a defect group of $B$, and let $P \leq \Delta$ be a vertex of $D$. Then $C_{\mathfrak{S}_{pw}}(P) = Z(P)$.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $D$ be a simple $F\mathfrak{S}_n$-module belonging to a block $B$ of weight $w$. Then $D$ is not relatively $\mathfrak{S}_{pw-1}$-projective.

**Proof.** If $D$ were relatively $\mathfrak{S}_{pw-1}$-projective, then it would also be relatively $\mathfrak{S}_{p(w-1)}$-projective. Hence there would be a Sylow $p$-subgroup $R$ of $\mathfrak{S}_{p(w-1)}$ and a vertex $P$ of $D$ with $P \leq R$. But then the $p$-cycle $(p(w - 1) + 1, \ldots, pw)$ would have to be contained in $C_{\mathfrak{S}_{pw}}(P) \setminus P$, a contradiction to the previous lemma. □
4 Exterior powers

In the following we recall some of the basic facts about exterior powers of modules over group algebras.

Remark 4.1. (a) Let $V$ be an FG-module with $\dim(V) = m$ and $s \geq 2$. Then the $s$-fold exterior power $\bigwedge^s V$ becomes an FG-module of dimension $\binom{m}{s}$ via $g(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_m) := gv_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge gv_m$, for $g \in G$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$, and we set $\bigwedge^0 V := F$ and $\bigwedge^1 V := V$. Moreover, $\dim(\bigwedge^m V) = 1$, and $g \in G$ acts on $\bigwedge^m V$ via multiplication with $\det(\Gamma(g))$ where $\Gamma$ is the matrix representation of $G$ over $F$ afforded by $V$.

• For $s \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ one always has an isomorphism of FG-modules
  \[
  \bigwedge^s V \otimes \bigwedge^s V \cong \bigwedge^{m-s} V,
  \]
  where $W^*$ denotes the dual of an FG-module $W$. An isomorphism $\Psi$ is obtained by sending an element of the form $\lambda \otimes v$, with $\lambda \in (\bigwedge^m V)^*$ and $v \in \bigwedge^s V$, to the linear map $\gamma_{\lambda,v} \in (\bigwedge^{m-s} V)^*$ defined by $\gamma_{\lambda,v}(w) := \lambda(v \wedge w)$, for $w \in \bigwedge^{m-s} V$. This result is probably well known. However, we could not find an appropriate reference.

In particular, if $\bigwedge^s V$ is indecomposable then so is $\bigwedge^{m-s} V$, and both modules have the same vertices.

• If $s < p$, then
  \[
  \bigwedge^s V \bigotimes_{i=1}^s V,
  \]
  by [7], 5.2. For any FG-modules $U$ and $V$ and any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ one also has
  \[
  \bigwedge^s (V \oplus U) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^s \bigwedge^i V \otimes \bigwedge^{s-i} U,
  \]
  by [2], (12.2).

(b) Consider a cyclic group $C$ of order $p^l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then FC possesses exactly $p^l$ isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules. Namely, up to isomorphism, for any $i = 1, \ldots, p^l$ there is exactly one indecomposable FC-module $T_i$ of dimension $i$. A proof for this is given in [5], Thm. VII.5.3. With this notation we now get:

Lemma 4.2. For a cyclic group $C$ of order $p$, let $V$ be a projective FC-module, and let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \nmid s$. Then $\bigwedge^s V$ is a projective FC-module as well.

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on $\dim(V)$. Suppose first that $\dim(V) = p$, i.e. $V \cong FC$. Then $\bigwedge^s V = 0$ for $s > p$, and $\bigwedge^s V \bigotimes_{i=1}^s V$, for $s < p$, by Remark 4.1. Thus $\bigwedge^s V$ is a projective FC-module. We may now assume that $\dim(V) > p$ and $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ with submodules $V_1 \neq 0 \neq V_2$. Then Remark 4.1 yields

\[
\bigwedge^s V = \bigwedge^s (V_1 \oplus V_2) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^s \bigwedge^i V_1 \otimes \bigwedge^{s-i} V_2.
\]

5
The hypothesis \( p \nmid s \) implies \( p \nmid i \) or \( p \nmid s - i \), for all \( i = 0, \ldots, s \). Hence, by induction, \( \bigwedge^i V \) or \( \bigwedge^{s-i} V \) is projective, and consequently also \( \bigwedge^i V \otimes \bigwedge^{s-i} V \) is projective, for \( i = 0, \ldots, s \). This finally proves the lemma. \( \square \)

5 Results

**Remark 5.1.** In this section we assume \( \text{char}(F) = p > 2 \), we fix an integer \( n \geq 2 \) and a non-negative integer \( r \leq n - 1 \). Furthermore, let \( M := M^{(n-1,1)} \) be the natural \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-permutation module, \( S := S^{(n-1,1)} \) the natural \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-Specht module and \( D := D^{(n-1,1)} \) the natural simple \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-module. Moreover, we denote the head \( V / \text{Rad}(V) \) of an \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-module \( V \) by \( \text{Hd}(V) \).

(a) The \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-epimorphism \( \varphi : S \rightarrow D \cong \text{Hd}(S) \) induces an \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \)-epimorphism

\[
\bigwedge^r \varphi : \bigwedge^r S \rightarrow \bigwedge^r D =: D_r.
\]

By [11], Prop. 2.2, we have \( \bigwedge^r S \cong S^{(n-r,1')} \).

(b) If \( p \nmid n \) we have \( M \cong D^{(n)} \cong D \) and \( S \cong D \), by [6], Ex. 5.1. Hence, in this case, we get \( \dim(D) = n - 1 \) and also \( D_r \cong S^{(n-r,1')} \), for \( r \leq n - 1 \), which is simple by [13] (see also [6], Thm. 24.1). Moreover, the vertices of \( D_r \) are known then. By [14], Thm. 2, they are the \( \mathfrak{S}_n \)-conjugates of the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( \mathfrak{S}_{n-r-1} \times \mathfrak{S}_r \).

(c) For this reason, we now suppose that \( n = wp \) with \( w \geq 1 \) and \( r \leq n - 2 \). In this case, by [6], Ex. 5.1, \( M \) is uniserial with composition series \( M \supset M_1 \supset M_2 \supset 0 \), where \( M/M_1 \cong D^{(n)} \cong M_2 \), \( M_1 = S \) and \( M_1/M_2 \cong D \).

Furthermore, if \( \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\} \) is a permutation basis for \( M \), then \( S = \{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i b_i | \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in F; \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 0\} \) and \( M_2 = \{\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n b_i | \alpha \in F\} \). Thus, in particular, \( \dim(D) = n - 2 \).

For \( 0 < r \leq n - 2 \), the Specht module \( S^{(n-r,1')} \) is uniserial with two composition factors, by [13] (see also [6], Thm. 24.1). More precisely, we get \( \text{Hd}(S^{(n-r,1')}) \cong D_r \cong \text{Soc}(S^{(n-r-1',r+1)}) \) for \( 0 \leq r \leq n - 2 \) and, in particular, \( D_0 \cong D^{(n)} \cong S^{(n)} \) and \( D_{n-2} \cong S^{(1')} \). Thus, as a composition factor of \( S^{(n-r,1')} \), the module \( D_r \) is contained in the principal block of \( F \mathfrak{S}_n \) and therefore not relatively \( \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} \)-projective, by Corollary 3.4.

Finally, for \( r < p \) we obtain the simple modules corresponding to hook partitions. Namely, then \( D_r \cong D^{(n-r,1')} \). For \( r \geq p \), the \( p \)-regular partition of \( n \) corresponding to \( D_r \) can be constructed by using the method of “regularizing” a partition as described in [7], 6.3.48.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \), let \( r \in \{0, \ldots, n-2\} \), and let \( P \leq P_n \) be a vertex of \( D_r \). Then

\[
P_{n-r-2} \times P_r < \mathfrak{S}_n \leq P_n.
\]

**Proof.** First of all, we have \( \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}(D) \cong D^{(n-2,1)} \). Together with the previous remark this yields

\[
\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}(D_r) = \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}(\bigwedge^r D) \cong \bigwedge^r (\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}}(D)) \cong \bigwedge^r D^{(n-2,1)} \cong S^{(n-1-r,1')}.
\]
and $P_{n-r-2} \times P_r$ is a vertex of $S^{(n-1-r,1^r)}$, by [14], Thm. 2. Since, by Corollary 3.4, $D_r$ is not relatively $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$-projective we thus obtain $P_{n-r-2} \times P_r \leq \mathfrak{S}_n P \leq P_n$ for a vertex $P \leq P_n$ of $D_r$. \hfill \square

As already mentioned, the vertices of $D_r$ with $r < p - 1$ have been determined in [11]. For this reason, we are now mainly concerned with the case $r \geq p - 1$.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $p$ be odd, let $n = pw$, for some $w \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $r = kp - 1$, for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, w - 1\}$. If the dimension of $D_r$ is not divisible by $p^2$, then the vertices of $D_r$ are the Sylow $p$-subgroups of $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

**Proof.** In case $w < p$ the assertion clearly holds, by Theorem 3.2. Hence we may now suppose that $w \geq p$. Let again $P \leq P_n$ be a vertex of $D_r$. Since $\dim(D_r)$ is supposed to be not divisible by $p^2$, we get $|P_n : P| \in \{1, p\}$. We assume that $P \neq P_n$; i.e. $|P_n : P| = p$. Proposition 5.2 implies that

$$P_{(w-k-1)p} \times P_{(k-1)p} \sim \mathfrak{S}_n Q < P < P_n.$$ 

On the one hand, $\langle z \rangle \leq Z(P_n) \leq P$ with $z := (1, \ldots, p)(p+1, \ldots, 2p) \cdots (n - p + 1, \ldots, n)$, by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, we have $(w-k-1)p \geq p$ or $(k-1)p \geq p$, so that $P$, and therefore $P_n$ as well, contains a subgroup which is conjugate to $P$. Thus, from Remark 2.2, we deduce that at least one of the $p$-cycles $(1, \ldots, p)(p+1, \ldots, 2p), \ldots, (n - p + 1, \ldots, n)$ has to be contained in $P$. So, if $(j-1)p + 1, \ldots, jp) \in P$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, w\}$, we set $c := z \cdot (j-1)p + 1, \ldots, jp)^{-1}$ and have $\langle c \rangle =: C \leq P$. As in Remark 4.1 (b) we again fix a transversal $\{T_1, \ldots, T_p\}$ for the isomorphism classes of indecomposable $FC$-modules and obtain $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M) \cong pT_1 \oplus mT_p$ where $m = w - 1$. In the notation of Remark 5.1 (c), $\text{Soc}(\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M)) \not\subseteq \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M_1)$. Thus there exists a submodule $U$ of $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M)$ with $U \cong F$ and $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M) = \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M_1) \oplus U$. Moreover, $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M_2) \not\subseteq \text{Rad}(\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M)) = \text{Rad}(\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M_1))$. Consequently, there is a submodule $V$ of $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M_1)$ with $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M) = \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M_2) \oplus V$ and $V \cong \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D)$. Hence $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M) = \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(M_2) \oplus U \oplus V \cong 2T_1 \oplus \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D)$ and therefore $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D) \cong (p - 2)T_1 \oplus mT_p$.

With Remark 4.1, this shows

$$\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D_r) = \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n\left(\bigwedge^r D\right) \cong \bigwedge^r \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D) \cong \bigwedge^r ((p - 2)T_1 \oplus mT_p),$$

since $\bigwedge^i ((p - 2)T_1) = 0$ for $i > p - 2$. Furthermore $r - i \in \{(k-1)p+1, \ldots, (k-1)p+(p-1)\}$ for $i \in \{0, \ldots, p-2\}$. Thus Lemma 4.2 applies to those cases, so that $\bigwedge^{r-i}(mT_p)$ and therefore also $\text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D_r)$ is projective, for $i = 0, \ldots, p - 2$. Hence we can now apply Lemma 3.1 with $K = C$, $H = P$ and $G = \mathfrak{S}_n$ and finally get

$$p^2 = |\mathfrak{S}_n : P|C| \dim(D_r),$$
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a contradiction to the hypothesis \( p^2 \nmid \dim(D_r) \). Consequently \( P = P_n \), and the theorem is proved. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.4.** Our original proof of the previous theorem made use of a result by C. Bessenrodtk (cf. [1], Thm. 1.1) which involves the complexity of a module. The present, more elementary argument which uses Lemma 3.1 instead had been suggested by the referee.

With Theorem 5.3, we now obtain the following corollary which is a generalization of Thm. (1.2) in [11].

**Corollary 5.5.** Let \( p \) be odd, let \( n = pw \) for some \( w \in \mathbb{N} \), and let \( (n-r,1^r) \) be a \((p\text{-regular})\) hook partition of \( n \). Suppose that \( r < p-1 \) or that \( r = p-1 \) and \( w \not\equiv 1 \text{ (mod } p\text{)} \). Then the vertices of the simple \( F\mathfrak{S}_n\)-module \( D^{(n-r,1^r)} \) are precisely the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \).

**Proof.** The assertion for \( r < p-1 \) had been shown in [11], Thm. (1.2). In case \( r = p-1 \) we have \( D^{(n-r,1^r)} = D^{(n-p+1,1^{p-1})} \cong D_{p-1} \) and

\[
\dim(D_{p-1}) = \frac{(n-2)!}{(p-1)!}.
\]

Thus, if \( w \not\equiv 1 \text{ (mod } p\text{)} \), then \( \dim(D_{p-1}) \) is divisible by \( p \) but not by \( p^2 \), and the assertion follows, by Theorem 5.3. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.6.** Let \( p \) be odd, let \( w = ap + l \), \( n = pw \) and \( r = kp - m \) for some \( a, k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 1 \leq m < p \). Moreover, let \( 1 \leq k \leq l < p \), and suppose further that \( k \neq l \) in case \( m = 1 \). Then the vertices of \( D_r \) are the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \).

**Proof.** With the above assumptions we have \( n - r - 2 = ap^2 + (l-k)p + m - 2 \). Let further \( P \leq P_n \) be a vertex of \( D_r \). Then

\[
P_{ap^2+l-2} \times P_{kp-m} \sim \mathfrak{S}_n Q < P \leq P_n = P_{ap^2+lp} = P_{ap^2} \times \langle P_p \rangle_l,
\]

by Proposition 5.2. For \( m \geq 2 \), this implies

\[
Q \sim \mathfrak{S}_n P_{ap^2} \times \langle P_p \rangle^{l-k} \times \langle P_p \rangle^{k-1} \sim \mathfrak{S}_n P_{ap^2} \times \langle P_p \rangle^{l-1},
\]

so that we then get \( P = P_n \). If \( m = 1 \) we have

\[
Q \sim \mathfrak{S}_n P_{ap^2} \times \langle P_p \rangle^{l-k-1} \times \langle P_p \rangle^{k-1} \sim \mathfrak{S}_n P_{ap^2} \times \langle P_p \rangle^{l-2}.
\]

By Remark 2.2, any subgroup of \( P_n \) which is in \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) conjugate to \( P_{p^k} \) for some \( k > 1 \) has to be a subgroup of \( P_{ap^2} \leq P_n \). Hence \( P_{ap^2} \leq Q \leq P \) and consequently \( P_n = P_{ap^2} \times \langle P_p \rangle^1 \subseteq PC_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(P) \subseteq P \), by Lemma 3.3. Thus again we obtain \( P = P_n \). \( \square \)

**Remark 5.7.** In the situation of Corollary 5.5, there is a positive answer to Conjecture (1.7) (a) in [11]. So far, the case \( n = pw \) with \( w \equiv 1 \text{ (mod } p\text{)} \) and \( r = p-1 \) still remains open.

Using the computer algebra system MAGMA [9], [10] and algorithms which had been developed in [15], we partially computed the vertices of the simple \( F\mathfrak{S}_n \)-modules \( D_r \) in characteristic 3 for “small” \( n \). The table below contains the results for \( n \leq 27 \), where the entries denote the vertices of the \( F\mathfrak{S}_n \)-module \( D_r \) for appropriate \( n \) and \( r \). The gray entries correspond to modules whose dimensions are not divisible by 3, and the framed ones have been deduced by computer calculations. The remaining entries could be obtained by applying Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.6, respectively.
As a generalization of Conjecture (1.7) (a) in [11], we state the following conjecture which is motivated by our results above as well as by the computational data.

**Conjecture 5.8.** Let \( p \) be odd, let \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \) and \( r \leq n - 2 \). Then the vertices of \( D_r \) are precisely the Sylow \( p \)-subgroups of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \).

For \( p = 3 \) and \( n \leq 15 \) with \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \), we also computed the sources of the \( F\mathfrak{S}_n \)-modules \( D_r \). The results suggest the following question, generalizing Conjecture (1.7) (b) in [11].

**Question 5.9.** Let \( p \) be odd, let \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \) and \( r \leq n - 2 \). Does then the following hold in general?

(i) If \( n \) is even and \( r = (n - 2)/2 \) then \( \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D_r) \) is a direct sum of two indecomposable modules of dimension \( \dim(D_r)/2 \) both of which are sources of \( D_r \).

(ii) Otherwise \( \text{Res}^\mathfrak{S}_n(D_r) \) is indecomposable and a source of \( D_r \).
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